

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,162	07/09/2001	William R. Rehman	11694-04106	8708
	590 11/26/2004		EXAMINER .	
CALFEE, HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE			KOCH, GEORGE R	
SUITE 1400		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAND,	, OH 44114	1734		
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/901,162	REHMAN ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
7. 44.4.40	George R. Koch III	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2004 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	old abandonment of this applica	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The case have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the case of t	he shortened statutory period for reply of the later than three months after the mail.	unt of the fee. The appropriate extension			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:				
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly			
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>41-43, 56-62</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro-	ved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:					
CHRIS FIORILLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE					
	ulzzlay	AU 1734			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: The deletion of "positional" and insertion of "radial" in claim 41 to define the type of orientations of the wear component is a new issue requiring further search and consideration. Similarly, the new limitations as to radial orientation in claims 59 and 62 are new issues requiring further search and consideration..

CHRIS FIORILLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AU 1734